Subcutaneous Emphysema and Inflammation of the Neck after Tracheal Puncture by an Intubating Stylet
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Abstract

Laryngo-tracheal perforation caused by the use of a stylet during tracheal intubation is a rare complication. We present a case of subcutaneous emphysema and connective tissue inflammation after tracheal intubation. The patient was a 41-year-old male undergoing general anesthesia for an appendectomy. The intubation was difficult during laryngoscopy (Cormack–Lehane Grade III). An assistant provided an endotracheal tube with a stylet inside while the laryngoscope was in place. During intubation, a short, dull sound was heard with a sudden loss of resistance after the distal tip of the endotracheal tube passed the rima glottis. A sonogram and computerized tomography revealed subcutaneous emphysema from the neck to the upper mediastinum and fluid collection between the trachea and the thyroid. This lesion appeared to have been caused by the protruded, loose stylet. Anesthesiologists should be aware of the damage a loose stylet protruding beyond the tip of the endotracheal tube can cause.

Key Words: Tracheal Injury, Intubation, Subcutaneous Emphysema

Introduction

Tracheal injuries leading to perforation or rupture are rare. However, they can lead to serious complications.¹ The trachea may be injured by multiple attempts at intubation, an
intubating stylet, an overdistended cuff, or forceful coughing during intubation.\textsuperscript{2, 3, 5} A large postmortem series following emergency intubation showed that 18\% of cases were affected by tracheal injuries.\textsuperscript{2, 4} Surgical repair is usually required in most cases with symptoms, but conservative treatment is also an option in asymptomatic patients without respiratory distress.\textsuperscript{1-13}

We report the case of a 41-year-old male patient of average weight with a tracheal lesion caused by a stylet. The unusual and confusing symptoms of inflammation started to develop one full day after the intubation. A loose stylet should never be tolerated during intubation, as it can go beyond the tip of the tube, causing minor complications such as subcutaneous emphysema or major complications with dyspnea leading to life threatening conditions.

**Case Report**

A 41-year-old, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status I male patient with a periappendiceal abscess was to undergo general anesthesia for appendectomy at a private hospital. A Macintosh bladed laryngoscope was used in order to visualize the airway, which was Cormack-Lehane grade III. The anesthesiologist requested the assistant to provide an endotracheal tube with a stylet inside. While keeping an eye on the airway, the anesthetist noticed protrusion of a loose stylet beyond the tip of the tube, but decided to proceed with the intubation. The intubation was successfully performed on a single attempt, during which a short, dull sound was heard with a sudden loss of resistance. The stylet was removed right away, and the tube was advanced until the balloon was in place and inflated. The operation ended uneventfully.

The next day, the patient started to complain of symptoms of swelling, pain, erythema, tenderness, localized heat sensation and a foreign body sensation in the throat. Thyroid function testing was done to exclude thyroiditis, and the consulted otolaryngologist found no visible lesion within the oropharynx. Next, a thyroid ultrasonography was performed, which revealed a 3 cm × 1.5 cm sized lesion with multiple echogenic and hypoechogenic foci between the right lobe of the thyroid gland and the cartilagenous trachea (Fig. 1). The thyroid was compressed outward and away from the trachea by the extrinsic lesion of which the possibility of an abscess formation could not be excluded. The second day after the operation, the thyroid function test results showed normal levels and thyroiditis was excluded as the cause of the patient's symptoms, which were now more intense, and with a mild fever. A computerized tomography revealed the formation of subcutaneous emphysema extending from the thyroid to the upper end of the mediastinum, and between the trachea and thyroid (Fig.
Fig. 1. Arrows point towards the lesion in the thyroid ultrasonography showing expansion, pushing the rt. thyroid lobe laterally away from the trachea (upper), and anteriorly to the skin (lower).

Fig. 2. The CT of the neck showing air and fluid collections between the thyroid and the trachea (arrows pointing towards the lesion).

2). The subcutaneous emphysema contained fluid with air bubbles inside. All evidence led to the point of intubation, when the short dull sound and sudden loss of resistance was noticed. The loosely–protruded stylet had caused a perforation of the trachea, through which air and fluid had passed. Broad spectrum antibiotics were added to the usual antibiotics given to appendectomy patients with a suspicion that this lesion was the source of infection. On the fourth day, the fever had subsided, as well as the foreign body sensation, swelling and pain. On the sixth day, a follow–up computerized tomography showed complete absorption of the fluid within the emphysema that was now almost fully regressed. The patient was discharged two days later.

Discussion

Tracheal injuries are potentially life–threatening complications. These injuries usually occur in patients with a weaknesses in the tracheobronchial area.6–8 Patient risk factors involve weakening of the trachea, such as corticosteroid therapy, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, advanced age, and mediastinal lymph–node metastasis.2, 6, 9 The anatomy of the patient’s larynx may play a role, in which a difficult intubation
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may lead to the use of a stylet, and multiple intubation attempts increase the risk of injury. The urgency of the intubation may also be a factor an emergency intubation of a non-sedated patient will cause coughing and vigorous forceful attempts at intubation are generally needed compared to an elective general anesthesia setting, where the patient is truly sedated and muscle relaxants are used. In our case, the intubation was performed on a healthy middle-aged male patient with an ASA physical status I, who had an intact trachea with no past medical history of airway disease. Intubation was successful on a single attempt, thus the only problem was that the stylet protruded past the tip of the beveled endotracheal tube during intubation, which should not be positioned beyond Murphy’s eye.

On physical examination, hypopharynx and the visible parts of the subglottic area were intact. Tracheal injuries almost always occur at the posterior membranous wall, because the c-shaped cartilagenous anterior and lateral walls provide reinforcement. However, in our case we speculate that a puncture of the trachea occurred at this cartilagenous portion because it is highly unlikely that the stylet reversed its course posteriorly and injured the posterior wall. We believe that the anterior or lateral trachea had been punctured, because a short and dull sound with a sudden loss of resistance was noted, much like piercing a sturdy hollow cylinder. Recently, a case was reported with a tracheal injury during nasotracheal intubation with a fibroscope, where the second to fourth tracheal cartilages were ruptured this supports our opinion that indeed the cartilagenous portion of the trachea can be perforated during intubation.

The usual symptoms and complications of tracheal injuries are dyspnea and subcutaneous emphysema. Our patient’s perforated trachea had not been diagnosed at first because of the confounding symptoms of erythema, localized heat sensation, pain, and tenderness, which were more suggestive of a traumatic injury to the hypopharynx. No visible lesion was found in the oro- and hypo-pharynx during the laryngology examination, so the possibility of hypopharyngeal injury was excluded. Such injuries have the additional symptoms of odynophagia and infectious complications such as a retropharyngeal abscess and pyrexia, similar to our patient’s symptoms. The fever that developed on the next day contributed to the complexity of the diagnosis. The possibility of inflammation could not be excluded because a fluid collection within an emphysematous injury near the thyroid has not been reported previously with tracheal injuries.

Although there was a short and dull sound with a sudden loss of resistance during the intubation, the anesthesiologist was oblivious to the possibility of the stylet piercing the trachea. The possibility of De Quervain’s
thyroiditis (also called subacute thyroiditis, or granulomatous thyroiditis), was evaluated first, which is sometimes caused by a viral infection and is rapidly progressive, causing fever and painful swelling, which resembles infection of the thyroid gland. An infectious origin was also a possibility, but the patient was being treated with the routine antibiotic therapy used for an appendectomy, and the source of infection was unclear. Only after the confirmation of the subcutaneous emphysema, by computerized tomography, did the anesthesiologist realize the meaning of the sounds appreciated during the intubation. All evidence gradually pointed to a perforation of the trachea (through which air and small amounts of fluid had passed and caused inflammatory reactions within the neck) even though perforation of the trachea causing such inflammatory reactions have not been previously reported.

Most patients with a rupture larger than 4 cm have symptoms related to dyspnea. These patients are usually in need of immediate intervention such as intubation and surgical repair because of respiratory distress\textsuperscript{5, 8}, whereas patients with injuries smaller than 4 cm have delayed, less severe symptoms.\textsuperscript{5, 10} Considering our patient’s symptoms, we concluded that the patient did not require urgent surgical repair. Many reports suggest that large injuries almost always heal with perfect scarring without sequelae or stenosis, even in patients with large ruptures whose general condition precluded surgical repair.\textsuperscript{5} A recent report of a case by Mullan and colleagues proposed a nonsurgical treatment approach even in patients with major tracheal ruptures.\textsuperscript{13} Therefore, conservative treatment of our patient was a reasonable treatment option.

Concern still exists that we may have missed an injury to the hypopharynx, consistent with most of the symptoms of our patient. On account of the fluid formation within the subcutaneous emphysema, a rupture such as a small puncture of the pyriform sinus may have been overlooked if the size was significantly small enough. This would explain the fluid content within the subcutaneous emphysema more easily, since secretions are abundant and swallowing induces passage. There is still a possibility that strenuous coughing may have forced tracheal secretions to gush through the punctured area of the trachea. A culture study or an amylase level test of this fluid content might have been helpful in diagnosing whether the hypopharynx or the trachea had been ruptured without the aid of a fibroscope. Even with a fibroscope, it would be hard to see a puncture the size of the diameter of the stylet and situated near the vocal cords.

In conclusion, our patient’s trachea, while having no particular risk factors except a Cormack-Lehane Grade III, was perforated by a protruded, loose stylet, which should
never be overlooked; especially if it can advance beyond the tip of the endotracheal tube. We recommend that anesthesiologists need to be wary of a loose stylet and recheck that it does not pass further beyond Murphy’s eye before intubation.

요 약

한 41세 남자 환자가 충수돌기염 절제술을 받기 위하여 전신마취를 받았다. 삽관을 위해 후두경으로 보자, 난해한 기도삽관이 예상되어 유도자를 사용하였다. 기도삽관 중 돌출된 유도자가 기도를 손상시켰으며, 이에 의해 목에서부터 상부종격동까지의 피하기종과 목의 염증성 종창이 생겼다. 환자는 호흡곤란과 관련된 증상을 보이지 않아 호흡기 손상의 의심하기 어려웠고, 주 증상이었던 염증성 종창에 초점을 두게 되어 진단에 차질을 빚었으나, 환자는 대중치료로 회복하였다. 유도자를 사용하여 기관내 삽관을 하는 경우 유도자의 위치를 재점검하여 유도자에 의한 기도 손상을 유발하지 않도록 유념해야 할 것이다.
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