Treatment of Coronary Artery Perforation and Tamponade Complicating Balloon Angioplasty by PTFE-Covered Stent. A Case Report
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Abstract

A coronary artery perforation is a rare but often fatal complication of angioplasty. We experienced a coronary artery perforation and cardiac tamponade during balloon angioplasty. A polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE)-covered stent was used to successfully close the perforation.
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INTRODUCTION

A perforation of the coronary arteries is a rare complication of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). However, it often progresses to a tamponade or myocardial infarction requiring emergency surgery, resulting in high mortality.1,2) There are many therapeutic modalities available for treating a coronary artery perforation. A recently coated stent made from polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) is useful for coronary aneurysms and perforations. Here, we report a case of a successful treatment of a coronary artery perforation complicating a balloon angioplasty using a PTFE-covered stent.

CASE REPORT

A 50-year-old male complained of increasing chest pain upon exertion over a 3-months periods. The physical examination revealed
the blood pressure, heart rate and respiratory rate to be 110/70 mmHg, 68 beats/min, and 20 breaths/min, respectively. The heartbeat was regular without any murmur and the breathing sound was clear. A 12-lead electrocardiogram showed a normal sinus rhythm, left ventricular hypertrophy and a left bundle branch block. A Tc-99m MIBI myocardial perfusion scan with adenosine stress showed a perfusion defect at the apex and anterior wall of the left ventricle (LV), with the defect completely recovering after resting. The LV ejection fraction on the perfusion scan was 49% at rest. The coronary angiography revealed a diffuse 60% stenosis in the distal right coronary artery (RCA), an 80% stenosis in the proximal left circumflex artery (LCX), minimal stenosis in the proximal left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD) with 80% stenosis at its neighboring 1st diagonal branch (DG), and a tubular stenosis 80% at the mid LAD (Fig. 1). The patient was referred for coronary angioplasty.

Coronary artery stenting with balloon dilatation was planned at the mid LAD, 1st diagonal branch and proximal LCX. Initially, coronary stenting was attempted at the 1st diagonal lesion. A 7 Fr JLA guiding catheter (Cordis, Miami, FL, USA) was positioned at the left main ostium. Two 0.014” ChoicePST guide wires (Boston Scientific, Boston) were advanced into the 1st diagonal branch and distal LAD. Two predilatations were performed at the 1st diagonal branch using a 2.5 mm U-pass balloon catheter (Cordis, Miami, FL, USA) which was to 8 and 10 atm, respectively. After the second predilatation, the coronary angiography revealed pumping extravascular

![Fig. 1. Basal angiogram showing a tubular 80% stenosis at the 1st diagonal branch (arrow).](image1)

![Fig. 2. Coronary angiogram after balloon dilation showing pumping extravascular flow at the lesion site (arrow) and subsequent diffuse pericardial contrast.](image2)
flow at the balloon dilatation site (Fig. 2). The patient complained of severe chest pain and his blood systolic pressure dropped to 80 mmHg. A balloon was inserted into the perforated lesion site and inflated again. Normal saline and dopamine was then infused intravenously and the systolic blood pressure maintained at 70–80 mmHg. Pericardiocentesis was then attempted under the guidance of echocardiography, but the guide wire passed through right ventricular wall and the catheter drainage failed. A decision was made to seal off the perforation site by implanting 3.0 mm in diameter and 19 mm in length PTFE-covered stent (JOMED, Sweden). The aneurysm was sealed off completely and the blood pressure returned to 100/70 mmHg (Fig. 3). The patient underwent surgery to remove the blood collected in the pericardial space as well as the misplaced guide wire.

The patient was discharged with medications, such as aspirin 200 mg/day and ticlopidine 500 mg/day. After a 6 months follow up, there was no complaint of angina under the medications.

DISCUSSION

A rupture and perforation of the coronary arteries are a very rare complications associated with balloon angioplasty. However it can have serious consequences with a high mortality rate. The incidence varies depending on the type of procedures and has been reported range from 0.2% and 0.6%. Coronary artery perforation is more common after using a new devices (0.86%) than after the balloon angioplasty (0.41%). It is more commonly observed in patients with a history of congestive heart failure, female patients and following the use of atheroablative interventional technologies (2.8%). In this case, the target lesion was quite hard and the balloon was displaced during inflation. The misplaced balloon might have caused the dissection and rupture of the plaque edge. Consequently, the balloon needs to be inflated carefully during angioplasty for a calcified, eccentric and hard lesion.

Many therapeutic modalities have been
proposed for the treatment of a coronary perforation, but such treatments should be selected according to the type of perforation as well as the severity. An angiographic perforation class-specific algorithm was proposed. Intravenous heparin-neutralizing protamine sulfate is sufficient in most cases of a type I perforation, which is defined as epicardial staining without a jet of contrast extravasation. However, more intensive treatment is required for type II patients who have pericardial staining with a visible contrast jet with or without a patient. Severe and hemodynamically compromising perforations can also be treated with prolonged balloon inflation, coronary stenting, intracoronary autologous blood, coronary microcoil and gelfoam embolization. In cases of catastrophic perforations, as in our patient, various sealing devices, autologous vein cover stents and PTFE–coated stent are useful (Fig. 4). A stent-graft is an effective treatment modality for a coronary pseudoaneurysm and perforation. Although covered stents are quite useful for sealing a ruptured coronary artery, the stents are usually bulky and the delivery of the stent-graft to the site of vessel rupture sometimes requires deep intubation of the guiding catheter. In addition, it must be used with caution in regions with significant side branching.

A cardiac tamponade is an uncommon but serious complication of a coronary perforation because the patient’s hemodynamic status can worsen in a very short time and it is associated with a high mortality and morbidity. Most cases are recognized immediately but a delayed cardiac tamponade can occur. Therefore, this possibility needs to be considered when a patient suffers hypotension.

Fig. 4. Ultrastructure (A) and gross photograph (B) of the JOSTENT® coronary stent graft used in this patient.
after a PCI. In the case of a hemodynamically unstable patient, an immediate pericardiocentesis and pericardial catheter drainage are life-saving procedures. In our case, the immediate pericardiocentesis failed but the leak was stopped with immediate stent-graft implantation under the infusion of dopamine.

In conclusion, a coronary perforation during a percutaneous interventions can be treated effectively by implanting a stent-graft.
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