Imaging and Therapy of Tumors Induced to Express Somatostatin Receptor by Gene Transfer Using Radiolabeled Peptides and Single Chain Antibody Constructs

Donald J. Buchsbaum

The fields of radioimmunodetection and radioimmunotherapy began with an initial paradigm that a targeting molecule (eg, antibody) carrying a radioisotope had the potential of selectively imaging and delivering a therapeutic dose of radiation to tumor sites. A second paradigm was developed in which injection of the targeting molecule was separated from injection of a short-lived radioisotope-labeled ligand (so-called "pretargeting strategy"). This strategy has improved radioisotope delivery to tumors in animal models, enhanced radioimmune imaging in man, and therapeutic trials are in an early phase. We proposed a third paradigm to achieve radioisotopic localization at tumor sites by inducing tumor cells to synthesize a membrane expressed receptor with a high affinity for infused radiolabeled ligands. The use of gene transfer technology to induce expression of high affinity membrane receptors can enhance the specificity of radioligand localization, while the use of radioisotopes with the ability to deliver radiation damage across several cell diameters will compensate for less than perfect transduction efficiency. This approach was termed "Genetic Radioisotope Targeting

THE USE of radiolabeled monoclonal antibodies (mAb) to "target" radioactivity to tumor sites is a promising strategy, as shown in a number of animal models and in human trials.¹⁻⁷ Radioimmunotherapy (RIT) is typically administered systemically. However, it also has been delivered intraperitoneally (IP) or intralesionally. Favorable results have been observed in the treatment of lymphomas, in tumors confined within the peritoneal cavity (ovarian), and in malignant gliomas through direct intratumoral injection.⁸⁻²⁰ Another strategy has used radiolabeled peptides (eg, Octreoscan, Mallinckrodt, Hazelwood, MO, or

© 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. 0001-2998/04/3401-0005\$30.00/0 doi:10.1053/j.semnuclmed.2003.09.005 Strategy." Using this strategy, induction of high levels of gastrin releasing peptide receptor or human somatostatin receptor subtype 2 expression and selective tumor uptake of radiolabeled peptides was achieved. The advantages of the genetic transduction approach are (1) constitutive expression of a tumor-associated antigen/receptor is not required; (2) tumor cells are altered to express a new target receptor or increased quantities of an existing receptor at levels that may significantly improve tumor targeting of radiolabeled ligands compared with normal tissues; (3) gene transfer can be achieved by intratumoral or regional injection of gene vectors; (4) it is feasible to target adenovirus vectors to receptors overexpressed on tumor cells by modifying adenoviral tropism (binding) so that the virus will be targeted specifically to the desired tumor; and (5) it is possible to coexpress the receptor gene and a therapeutic gene, such as cytosine deaminase, for molecular prodrug therapy to produce an enhanced therapeutic effect.

© 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Neotect, Berlex Laboratories, Inc, Wayne, NJ) to target human somatostatin receptor subtype 2 (hSSTr2) positive tumor cells for imaging in patients.²¹⁻²⁴ The peptides can be labeled with radioisotopes for therapeutic applications, which have been performed in preclinical animal models and in patients with cancer.²⁵⁻³² Despite the success of these investigations, improved delivery systems and/or targeting strategies are needed for RIT to have an even greater effect in the treatment of cancer.

LIMITATIONS OF CURRENT TARGETED RADIODETECTION AND RADIOTHERAPY STRATEGIES

The success of radioimmunodetection (RID) and RIT has been limited by the low percentage uptake of injected radioactivity in tumors due to low target antigen expression and slow penetration of the large (160 kDa) intact mAb, the normal tissue uptake of the radiolabeled mAb, the bone marrow toxicity due to the long blood circulation time of the radiolabeled mAb in blood, radioresistance of the tumor, and the development of human antimouse antibody responses.^{2,33} Strategies to overcome these limitations have included the use of

From the Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL.

Address reprint requests to Donald J. Buchsbaum, PhD, Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, 1530 3rd Ave. South, WTI 674, Birmingham, AL 35294-6832.

This work was supported by DOE grant DE-FG05 93ER61654.

mAb fragments, single chain antibodies (scFv) and minibodies or diabodies,³⁴⁻³⁷ radiolabeled peptides,^{21,22,25,27,38} locoregional administration of the radiolabeled ligand,³⁹⁻⁴¹ the use of cleavable chelating agents,^{42,43} biological response modifiers such as interferon or gene transfer methods to upregulate tumor-associated antigen/receptor expression,⁴⁴⁻⁵¹ irradiation of the tumor to increase vascular permeability,² the use of radiosensitizing agents,⁵²⁻⁵⁴ and antiangiogenic therapy.⁵⁵⁻⁵⁷

Strategies to Overcome Targeted Radiotherapy Limitations

There are several strategies designed to overcome the limitations of RID and RIT. Several desirable features can be envisioned for optimum receptor-ligand systems for tumor detection and therapy. Expression of an endogenous receptor exclusively on malignant cells within the normal tissue parenchyma would provide a certain degree of specificity and safety. If cross-reactivity of the radioligand with corresponding human receptors is known, it would be most desirable if the human receptor-positive cells were expendable or were isolated from the treatment area. Thus, nontumor localization of radioligand would not have significant deleterious clinical sequelae. Our group showed that an adenoviral (Ad) vector encoding the gene for carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) could infect human glioma cells and induce the expression of CEA in vitro and in vivo, as evidenced by an increase in the binding and localization of a radiolabeled anti-CEA antibody when compared with uninfected cells.48 Another desirable feature of candidate ligand-receptor systems would be the potential for a high affinity ligandreceptor interaction. Our recent focus has been on the development of recombinant vectors that transfer receptor-encoding genes with high binding affinities to radiolabeled peptides to tumor cells. Receptors that we investigated for targeting with radiolabeled peptides include hSSTr2 and the gastrin releasing peptide receptor (GRPr). Our group has published on the use of Ad vectors encoding the genes for hSSTr2,58-61 GRPr,49,50 and epidermal growth factor receptor^{62,63} in the genetic radioisotope targeting approach. A potential advantage of genetic transduction of a receptor is that the level of expression may be higher than what are generally otherwise low tumor concentrations of such receptors.38

Radiolabeled Peptides Targeting Somatostatin Receptors in Cancer Detection and Therapy

We identified one lead system that seemed to offer the desired features for use in the proposed context. The target of most imaging and therapy studies with radiolabeled peptides has been the hSSTr2, which is expressed on a number of human tumors, including neuroendocrine, ovarian, renal, breast, lung, and meningiomas.64-68 The somatostatin receptor group includes gene products encoded by 5 separate somatostatin receptor genes.⁶⁹ The subtype 2 exists in 2 forms due to alternate splicing of hSSTr2 messenger ribonucleic acid, which produces 2 products. The subtype 2A receptor (herein referenced as hSSTr2) is slightly longer (369 amino acids), while the shorter subtype 2B differs only in regard to a truncation on the C-terminal tail (356 amino acids). The receptors have varying tissue levels in the brain, gastrointestinal tract, pancreas, kidney, and spleen.70-72 It is for this reason that it might be helpful to produce ligands reactive with a mutated form of hSSTr2 to achieve more selective binding to transfected tumors without inducing toxicity to normal tissues that naturally express hSSTr2. All 5 receptors show high affinity binding to natural somatostatin peptide. either somatostatin-14 or somatostatin-28. Octreotide, P829, and P2045 are synthetic somatostatin analogues that preferentially bind with high affinity to somatostatin receptor subtypes 2, 3, and 5 of human, mouse, or rat origin.⁷⁰⁻⁷⁴ Somatostatin and its analogues effectively inhibit the proliferation of various types of cancer cells as a result of binding to hSSTr2.75-77

Octreotide is an 8 amino-acid peptide that has a high affinity for hSSTr2 and is stable towards in vivo degradation relative to the endogenous 14 amino-acid somatostatin-14 peptide. Octreotide and other somatostatin analogues have been modified with bifunctional chelating agents, for complexing radioactive metals, and by changing the amino acid sequence to increase their hSSTr2 binding affinity and optimize their normal organ clearance. Somatostatin analogues have been labeled with ¹¹¹In, ⁹⁰Y, ⁶⁴Cu, and ¹⁸⁸Re for therapeutic applications. Smith-Jones and coworkers showed that a single 0.48 mCi IP injection of a ⁹⁰Y-labeled somatostatin analogue in nude mice bearing subcutaneous (s.c.) AR42J rat pancreatic tumors resulted in a significant reduction in tumor

growth.78 Stolz and colleagues showed that a single dose of ⁹⁰Y-DOTA-D-Phe¹-Tyr³-octreotide (⁹⁰Y-SMT 487) led to reductions of 60% and 50% of the initial tumor volume in nude mice bearing AR42J and NCI-H69 human small cell lung cancer tumors, respectively.79 Complete remissions were observed in rats bearing (s.c.) CA20948 rat pancreatic tumors when a single 2.0 mCi dose of ⁹⁰Y-DOTA-Tyr³-octreotide was administered.²⁷ The ⁹⁰Y-DOTA-lanreotide that binds to hSSTr produced a therapeutic response in a patient with metastatic gastrinoma.80 The 90Y-SMT 487 was administered to 20 patients with malignant tumors (17 carcinoids, 1 breast cancer, 1 medullary thyroid cancer, 1 meningioma) in a phase I trial.81 Complete and partial responses were obtained in 25% of patients along with 55% showing stable disease lasting at least 3 months. The ⁹⁰Y-SMT 487 has been tested in patients with neuroendocrine tumors.27,81,82

Zamora and coworkers labeled the somatostatin analogue RC-160 with ¹⁸⁸Re and administered 7 doses of 0.2 mCi over a 14-day period intralesionally to nude mice bearing PC-3 human prostate cancer tumors.²⁵ They reported that animals receiving ¹⁸⁸Re-RC-160 had 60% survival compared with no survivors when control animals were injected with saline. Anderson and colleagues showed tumor growth inhibition of s.c. CA20948 tumors in Lewis rats using either single or multiple intravenous (IV) doses of ⁶⁴Cu-TETA-octreotide.26 Thus, several radiolabeled somatostatin analogues have shown potential as radiotherapeutic agents in animal tumor models and in humans. However, in most of the published studies, there has been limited tumor uptake and retention of the radiolabeled peptides (peak uptake <10% injected dose [ID]/g), presumably due to the rapid clearance from the blood. This has resulted in the use of rather high quantities of radionuclides in preclinical studies with multiple administrations. Moreover, large radionuclide doses (0.4 to 1 Ci) have been administered to patients.83-87

Tumor Killing is Enhanced by Increasing Radiosensitivity Through Molecular Prodrug Therapy

The molecular prodrug gene therapy approach involves insertion and expression of an enzyme in a target cell that converts a nontoxic prodrug to a toxic drug.^{88,89} The enzyme cytosine deaminase (CD) is a nonmammalian enzyme that normally catalyzes the formation of uracil by the deamination of cytosine. When 5-fluorocytosine (5-FC) is the substrate, this enzyme will produce 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), a potent cancer chemotherapeutic and radiosensitizing agent.90 The genes for bacterial and yeast CD have been cloned.91-94 Because mammalian cells do not normally express the CD gene, 5-FC is nontoxic to these cells, even at high concentrations. The 5-FC has been used as an antifungal drug because of its relative nontoxicity in humans. The CD gene has been used in gene therapy strategies to mediate intracellular conversion of 5-FC to 5-FU, and has been effective in animal tumor models.^{95,96} This therapeutic strategy has the advantage of intracellular production of high concentrations of radiosensitizing drug as an alternative to systemic administration, therefore potentially limiting systemic toxicities.⁹⁷ Direct injection of 5-FU itself into a solid tumor would not be effective because it would be washed out immediately. Converted 5-FU passively diffuses across the cell membrane from CD-positive cells to nontransduced cells.98,99 This bystander effect compensates for the inability of current vector systems to transduce all but a small fraction of cells in a given tumor.89,100

Recent studies by our group and others involving combination of radiation therapy with molecular prodrug therapy have shown that CD-based prodrug therapy sensitizes tumor cells to radiation in vitro and in vivo.101-103 Human colon and head and neck cancer cells that were stably transduced to express the CD gene were radiosensitized by the addition of 5-FC in vitro and in vivo.93,94,104,105 The use of Ad vectors to encode CD and convert 5-FC to 5-FU to achieve cell killing has been reported by our group^{102,103,106,107} and others.96,99,101,108 We initially used an Ad vector encoding CD under the control of the cytomegalovirus promoter (AdCMVCD) in combination with 5-FC and radiation treatment to show enhanced cytotoxicity against human colon, pancreatic, glioblastoma, and cholangiocarcinoma cells in vitro and in vivo.101-103,109 Recent results in a lung cancer animal model are described later. The results of a CD/5-FC molecular prodrug therapy phase I trial in patients with breast cancer have been published.110 There was evidence of reduction of tumor volume in 4 of 12 patients.

Phage Display Technology: In Vitro Generation of Recombinant Antibodies

Within the last decade, a novel approach has facilitated the in vitro production of recombinant antibodies directed against a variety of targets. The key technology in this approach is the surface expression of antigen-binding fragments of mAb on filamentous bacteriophage (Phage Display).111 Recombinant antibodies can be expressed in Escherichia coli as a single polypeptide consisting of 2 antigen binding domains, V_H and V_L, joined by a flexible peptide linker (termed scFv - single chain antibody variable fragment). The further development of recombinant antibody technology has led to creation of libraries of antibody genes obtained from immunized or nonimmunized donors. These antibody genes are expressed as scFvs on the surface of bacteriophage. This phage display approach allows selection of clones with highly specific antigen binding out of a vast number of primary clones in the library. Using this principle, extremely large antibody gene libraries can be screened.

Recombinant antibodies also can be isolated from hybridoma cell lines. The genetic information for the recombinant antibody V_H and V_L structural domains is amplified from hybridoma cells using the polymerase chain reaction with antibody genespecific primers. The necessity of creating phage display libraries when recombinant mAb are generated from hybridomas is dictated by their sequence heterogeneity.¹¹²

Tumor Targeting With Radiolabeled Minibodies and Diabodies

The engineering of antibodies can be used to produce recombinant fragments with properties optimized for in vivo applications. Intact, murine mAb are immunogenic in humans and display poor pharmacokinetics for RID. ScFvs (constructed from hybridoma cells or isolated via phage display, as described previously) are themselves poor reagents for targeting radionuclides to tumors due to their small size and that they only contain a single binding site. Nonetheless, scFvs provide an excellent building block for intermediate size engineered fragments, such as minibodies, in which the scFv have been fused to the human IgG1 hinge and CH3 regions to provide a dimerization domain¹¹³ and diabodies, where scFv self-assemble into noncovalent dimers containing 2 functional antigen binding sites.¹¹⁴ These fragments show antigen binding comparable to intact bivalent antibodies, and may show improved tumor penetration and faster normal tissue clearance. They include the scFv (27 kDa), diabody (a noncovalent dimer of scFv, 55 kDa), and the minibody (a dimer of scFv-hinge-CH3, 80 kDa).

Biodistribution and tumor targeting studies of radioiodinated or radiometal-labeled anti-CEA minibodies and diabodies in athymic mice bearing s.c. LS174T human colon cancer xenografts showed that these fragments localize to CEApositive tumors with fast clearance from blood and normal tissues (β half-life 3 to 5 hours) after IV injection.113,115,116 Maximum uptake levels of 10% to 15% ID/g for radioiodinated diabody at 1 to 2 hours after injection, or 20% to 25% ID/g for minibody at 6 to 12 hours after injection occurred following IV administration to mice. The blood pharmacokinetics of ¹¹¹In- and ⁶⁴Cu-labeled minibodies was similar, and high uptake in LS174T tumors occurred. However, radiometal-chelate conjugated minibody showed uptake in the liver, and the anti-CEA diabody localized in the kidneys. Nevertheless, the rapid blood clearance of both of these antibody fragments resulted in high tumorto-normal tissue ratios for other tissues. Results in the CEA system have been confirmed in other tumor-associated antigen systems, including TAG-72. Her2/neu, placental alkaline phosphatase, and fibronectin ED-B domain.117 Furthermore, antibody fragments, such as diabodies or scFv, show improved tumor penetration.34,118 Anti-CEA minibodies labeled with ⁶⁴Cu have been used for imaging by micro-positron emission tomography (PET),¹¹⁷ and potentially provide a vehicle for the delivery of therapeutic radionuclides either as a single agent or in genetic radioisotope and molecular prodrug therapy approaches as described herein.

COMBINATION OF GENE THERAPY AND TARGETED RADIOTHERAPY ADDRESSES THE KEY SHORTCOMINGS IN GENE THERAPY (LESS THAN COMPLETE TRANSDUCTION) AND TARGETED RADIOTHERAPY (INADEQUATE DELIVERY/LOCALIZATION OF RADIOLIGANDS)

The ability of recombinant Ad vectors to accomplish efficient gene transfer to tumor cells in vivo has led to the use of this vector approach in several, clinical cancer gene therapy trials.119 A number of gene therapy approaches use direct in situ transduction of tumor for the purpose of achieving an anticancer effect. In these various strategies, the limited transduction frequency achievable with currently available vectors mitigates against efficacy. Thus, strategies to amplify the biologic effects of genetic transduction events would potentially allow the enhanced therapeutic effect of these gene therapy methods. By linking tumor transduction to the induced binding of radiolabeled ligands, it is possible that this effect may be achieved because cells in proximity to bound ligand may be killed as a result of exposure to the local radiation field. It should be understood that with this approach, we are attempting to increase specifically the number of receptors on tumor cells that normally express a receptor or to induce specifically expression on tumor cells that do not ordinarily express the receptor by genetic transduction, with the result being increased targeting of the radiolabeled ligand to the tumor site. It is our hypothesis that one can deliver a larger fraction of the administered dose of the radiolabeled ligand to the tumor cells selectively through increased receptor expression at the tumor site.

When used with radiation therapy, uniform systemic incorporation of the genetic construct into tumor cells is not necessary. It should be emphasized that the advantage of the proposed strategy is that transduction of 20% to 40% of tumor cells may be all that is necessary for radiolabeled ligands to produce tumor responses, given the ability of β -emitters to deliver radiation across several cell diameters in primary tumor sites and metastases. Current strategies only transduce 5% to 10% of the tumor cells. The chief stumbling block in the use of radiolabeled peptides has been the low dose of radiation that can be delivered to the tumor due to rapid catabolism. The use of high affinity radiolabeled minibodies and diabodies will likely increase the delivered radiation dose to tumor due to better tumor uptake and retention. There should be enhanced cell killing as a result of gene transfer being combined with radiation therapy.

We have developed an approach to increase expression of targetable cell surface receptors in tumor cells using a gene transfer strategy. Using this strategy, we have accomplished induction of high levels of receptors for radioligand targeting, as described later. The advantages of the genetic transduction approach are (1) constitutive expression of a tumor-associated receptor is not required, and (2) tumor cells are altered to express a new target receptor at levels that may significantly improve tumor to normal tissue targeting of radiolabeled ligands. This method thus represents a new paradigm by which augmented therapeutic efficacy can be achieved through enhanced radiolabeled ligand localization to tumors transduced in situ to express unique and novel receptors. The use of modern molecular biology techniques to increase the expression of tumor-associated receptors for radiolabeled ligands is a novel approach to the treatment of cancer. The importance of this approach lies in that although each modality alone has limitations, the combination of gene transfer and radioligand therapy would be synergistic in effect.

INDUCTION OF RECEPTOR IN VITRO

We produced an Ad encoding the gene for hSSTr2 under the control of the cytomegalovirus promoter (AdCMVhSSTr2), and showed in vitro binding of ¹²⁵I-somatostatin and ¹¹¹In-DTPA-D-Phe¹-octreotide to cell membrane preparations of SK-OV-3.ip1 human ovarian cancer cells and A-427 human nonsmall cell lung cancer cells infected with AdCMVhSSTr2.60 The cells were infected with various amounts of AdCMVhSSTr2. and binding assays on membrane preparations from these cells showed high expression of hSSTr2 (Fig 1).¹²⁰ In addition, reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction analysis showed the presence of hSSTr2 messenger ribonucleic acid in A-427 and SK-OV-3.ip1 cells infected with AdC-MVhSSTr2. Scatchard analysis of A-427 cells infected with 10 plaque forming units (pfu) AdC-MVhSSTr2 and binding of ^{99m}Tc-P829 (Neotect) showed a B_{max} of 19,000 fmol/mg and the affinity of ^{99m}Tc-P829 to be 7 nM.

INDUCTION OF RECEPTORS IN VIVO

To evaluate the ability to induce receptor expression in vivo, AdCMVhSSTr2 was injected IP to induce hSSTr2 expression on SK-OV-3.ip1 tumors 5 days after tumor cell injection in the peritoneum in nude mice. Two days later, tumor localization of ¹¹¹In-DTPA-D-Phe¹-octreotide 4 hours after IP injection was equal to 60.4% ID/g of the radiolabeled peptide.⁶⁰ However, the uptake in tumor decreased to 18.6% ID/g at 24 hours after



Fig 1. Binding of ¹²⁵I-Tyr¹-somatostatin and ¹¹¹In-DTPA-D-Phe¹-octreotide to A-427 and SK-OV-3.ip1 membrane preparations.

injection (Fig 2). The tumor localization was significantly lower (1.6% ID/g) when a control Ad (AdCMVGRPr) was injected. These studies also showed that the tumor uptake of ¹¹¹In-DTPA-D-Phe¹-octreotide was similar 1, 2, or 4 days after AdCMVhSSTr2 injection and that 2 injections of AdCMVhSSTr2 did not improve the tumor localization of ¹¹¹In-DTPA-D-Phe¹-octreotide. Thus, these studies showed that tumor uptake of ¹¹¹In-DTPA-D-Phe¹-octreotide could be achieved after infection of the ovarian tumor in vivo with AdC-MVhSSTr2.

Other studies have investigated the localization



of ¹¹¹In-DTPA-D-Phe¹-octreotide to s.c. A-427 nonsmall cell lung tumors injected intratumorally (i.t.) with AdCMVhSSTr2.¹²¹ The gamma camera region of interest analysis showed the tumor uptake of ¹¹¹In-DTPA-D-Phe¹-octreotide to be 2.8% ID/g 48 hours after a single intratumoral AdCM-VhSSTr2 injection and 3.1% ID/g at 96 hours. Uptake of ¹¹¹In-DTPA-D-Phe¹-octreotide in control Ad-injected tumors was <0.3% ID/g at both times.

Gamma camera imaging was used to detect hSSTr2 expression in s.c. A-427 tumors infected with AdCMVhSSTr2 using a ^{99m}Tc- or ¹⁸⁸Re-

Fig 2. Biodistribution of ¹²⁵Isomatostatin and ¹¹¹In-DTPA-D-Phe¹-octreotide in mice bearing intraperitoneal (IP) SK-OV-3.ip1 tumors injected with AdCMVh-SSTr2. BL, blood; LU, lung; LI, liver; SI, small intestine; SP, spleen; KI, kidney; SK, skin; BO, bone; MS, muscle; TU, tumor; PL, peritoneal lining; UT, uterus. Reprinted with permission from the American Association for Cancer Research, Inc.



labeled somatostatin analogue.122 The somatostatin analogue, P829, was radiolabeled with ^{99m}Tc at high specific activity and was shown to bind with high affinity to hSSTr2-positive tumors by external scintigraphic imaging. The 99mTc-P829 and 188Re-P829 bound with high affinity (6 to 7 nM) to membrane preparations from A-427 cells infected with AdCMVhSSTr2, and were internalized similarly by AdCMVhSSTr2-infected A-427 cells.122 Mice bearing s.c. A-427 tumors injected i.t. with AdCMVhSSTr2 showed uptake of IV-injected ^{99m}Tc-P829 and ¹⁸⁸Re-P829 detected by gamma camera imaging, while uptake was not observed when the tumors were infected with a control Ad. This result was confirmed by counting the tumors in a gamma counter, which showed 3.8% and 2.9% ID/g of 99mTc-P829 and 188Re-P829 in the AdC-MVhSSTr2 injected tumors, respectively, compared with <0.4% ID/g in the tumors infected with the control Ad. Independent confirmation of hSSTr2 expression was shown by immunohistochemical analysis.

A novel ^{99m}Tc-labeled peptide (P2045) recently described by Diatide, Inc.¹²³ binds with high affinity to hSSTr2 and has favorable in vivo biodistribution. This peptide was evaluated in mice bearing SK-OV-3.ip1 tumors in the peritoneum. Tumor uptake of ^{99m}Tc-P2045 at 48 hours after IV injection averaged 2.2 \pm 0.3% ID/g for mice injected IP with AdCMVhSSTr2 (1 \times 10⁹ pfu), as compared with 0.18 \pm 0.002% ID/g in control mice not receiving Ad injection (*P* < 0.05) or in tumors

Fig 3. In vivo simultaneous imaging for human somatostatin receptor subtype 2 (hSSTr2) and thymidine kinase (TK) expression. Photograph of the mouse shows tumor locations and adenoviral (Ad) doses. The expression of hSSTr2 was depicted with imaging tumor accumulation of ^{99m}Tc-labeled P2045 (bottom left), while TK expression was depicted with imaging tumor accumulation of ¹³¹I-labeled FIAU (bottom right). The images were obtained 5 hours after intravenous (IV) injection of the radiotracers. Reprinted with permission from the Radiological Society of North America, Inc.

from mice injected IP with an Ad encoding the green fluorescent protein, which averaged 0.26 \pm 0.17% ID/g.124 We also evaluated P2045 in mice bearing s.c. A-427 tumors injected i.t. with AdC-MVhSSTr2 or with a control Ad. The 99mTc-P2045 was injected IV 2 or 4 days after AdCMVhSSTr2 injection, and the animals were imaged using a gamma camera equipped with a pinhole collimator 3.5 to 4.5 hours later. The images showed similar uptake of 99^mTc-P2045 in the tumors injected with AdCMVhSSTr2, but background uptake in tumors injected with control Ad. The biodistribution results in the mice 4 days after AdCMVhSSTr2 injection and 4 hours after 99mTc-P2045 injection showed 7.8% ID/g in the positive tumor. No other tissue had higher uptake than the AdCMVhSSTr2injected tumor.120

Further studies were reported using a bicistronic Ad vector encoding hSSTr2 and thymidine kinase (TK) in the same mouse tumor model.¹²⁵ The tumors were injected i.t. with the bicistronic vector (AdCMVhSSTr2TK), and the animals were imaged for hSSTr2 expression with ^{99m}Tc-P2045 and TK with ¹³¹I-FIAU (Fig 3). The biodistribution results showed the uptake of ^{99m}Tc-labeled P2045 and ¹³¹I-labeled FIAU for AdCMVhSSTr2TK-injected tumors (n = 8) was 11.1% and 1.6% ID/g, respectively. AdCMVhSSTr2-injected tumors (n = 4) accumulated 10.2% ID/g of the ^{99m}Tc-labeled P2045 and 0.3% of the ¹³¹I-labeled FIAU. AdC-MVTK-injected tumors (n = 4) had 0.2% ID/g for



Fig 4. Induction of human somatostatin receptor subtype 2 (hSSTr2) and ⁶⁴Cu-TETA-octreotide binding in vitro.

the 99m Tc-labeled P2045 and 3.7% for 131 I-labeled FIAU.

It was shown that ⁶⁴Cu-TETA-octreotide bound to cell membrane preparations of A-427 and SK-OV-3.ip1 cells infected with AdCMVhSSTr2 (Fig 4). Tumor localization and pharmacokinetics of ⁶⁴Cu-TETA-octreotide was investigated in mice bearing IP SK-OV-3.ip1 human ovarian tumors induced to express hSSTr2 with AdCMVhSSTr2. Mice bearing IP SK-OV-3.ip1 tumors infected with 1×10^9 pfu AdCMVhSSTr2 5 days after tumor cell inoculation followed by IP injection of ⁶⁴Cu-TETA-octreotide 2 days later had median tumor uptake of 25.1% ID/g at 4 hours after ⁶⁴Cu-TETA-octreotide administration (Fig 5). The uptake at 4 hours was significantly higher than when the control Ad (AdCMVLacZ) was given (1.6% ID/g). The tumor uptake of ⁶⁴Cu-TETA-octreotide decreased to 7.2% ID/g at 18 hours after injection.



Fig 5. Biodistribution of 64 Cu-TETA-octreotide in mice bearing intraperitoneal (IP) SK-OV-03.ip1 tumors injected with AdCMVhSSTr2.

THERAPY STUDIES WITH THE SINGLE GENE VECTOR AdCMVhSSTr2

In a therapy study, we evaluated a single administration of 1.4 or 2.0 mCi of ⁶⁴Cu-TETA-octreotide 2 days after AdCMVhSSTr2 injection in mice bearing IP SK-OV-3.ip1 tumors. Also, mice received 2 doses of ⁶⁴Cu-TETA-octreotide. In this group of animals, 1.4 mCi of ⁶⁴Cu-TETA-octreotide was administered 2 days after AdCMVh-SSTr2, followed by an additional dose of AdCM-VhSSTr2 13 days after the first, and administration of 700 μ Ci of ⁶⁴Cu-TETA-octreotide 2 days later. Untreated animals had a median survival of 34 days, while median survival following a single 1.4 mCi dose was 36 days, and a single 2.0 mCi dose was 14 days. The mice that received 2 doses of ⁶⁴Cu-TETA-octreotide (1.4 plus 0.7 mCi) had a median survival of 62 days (Fig 6). Overall, the combination of gene therapy and ⁶⁴Cu-TETAoctreotide resulted in significantly (P < 0.05) longer survival of the mice. These results establish



Fig 6. Survival of nude mice bearing intraperitoneal (IP) SK-OV-3.ip1 tumors treated with ⁶⁴Cu-TETA-octreotide.



Fig 7. Evaluation of AdSSTr2CD bicistronic vectors for expression of human somatostatin receptor subtype 2 (hSSTr2).

the key feasibilities of inducing hSSTr2 expression in ovarian tumors and achieving therapy with a radiolabeled somatostatin analogue. Our hypothesis is that a radiolabeled ligand with a longer retention time would deliver a higher radiation absorbed dose and result in higher therapeutic efficacy.

Another somatostatin analogue that is being used for therapy is ⁹⁰Y-SMT 487.^{27,81} Nude mice were inoculated s.c. with 2×10^6 A-427 cells. Twenty-four days later the mice were administered 1×10^9 pfu AdCMVhSSTr2 i.t. (day 0), and the first measurement of the tumor size (surface area equal to length x width) was made with vernier calipers. Mice received an IV injection of either 400 or 500 μ Ci ⁹⁰Y-SMT 487 on days 2 and 4. The mice then received an additional i.t. injection of AdCMVhSSTr2 on day 7, followed by 2 more 400 or 500 µCi doses of 90Y-SMT 487 on days 9 and 11. Control tumor-bearing mice either did not receive treatment or received 4, 500 µCi doses of ⁹⁰Y-SMT 487 on days 2, 4, 9, and 11 without AdCMVhSSTr2 injections.¹²¹ Mice that received 2 i.t. injections of AdCMVhSSTr2 and 4 doses of 400 or 500 μ Ci ⁹⁰Y-SMT 487 had median tumor quadrupling times of 40 and 44 days, respectively. The log-rank test revealed a statistically significant difference in time to tumor, quadrupling between the AdCMVhSSTr2 + ⁹⁰Y-SMT 487 treatment groups and the control groups (P < 0.02). The median tumor quadrupling times of the no treatment group and the no virus + 4 doses of 500 μ Ci ⁹⁰Y-SMT 487 group were 16 and 25 days, respectively.

THERAPY WITH THE BICISTRONIC VECTOR AdCMVhSSTr2CD

We have constructed and evaluated bicistronic Ad vectors encoding for hSSTr2 and the CD enzyme.126 The rationale for the construction of these vectors is 2-fold. First, hSSTr2 can be used for noninvasive imaging to determine the expression of the therapeutic gene (CD) in vivo.125 Second, hSSTr2 can be used for therapy as discussed previously, and the combination of this with CD mediated therapy through conversion of 5-FC to 5-FU may result in an additive or synergistic therapeutic effect. The A-427 cells infected with bicistronic vectors AdCMVhSSTr2CD or AdCMVhSSTr2CDRGD with the arginine-glycine-aspartic acid (RGD) peptide genetically engineered in the fiber knob to retarget Ad binding to integrins on the cell surface had equivalent hSSTr2 expression as the single gene vector AdCMVh-SSTr2 (Fig 7). In addition, the AdCMVhSSTr2CD and AdCMVhSSTr2CDRGD vectors produced similar CD enzyme activity levels as the single gene vector AdCMVCD (Fig 8). Thus, both genes

350 300 250 A-427 cells 200 pmol/mg 150 AdCMVhSSTr2CD AdRGDCMVhSSTr2CD 100 50 48 h 1.) Harvest cells and prepare cell lysates 2.) Add ³H-5-FC to 25 μ g of cell lysates 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 minutes and incubate at 37°C for **O**AdCMVCD 17.7 AdCMVhSSTr2 ND various times 3.) Monitor conversion of ³H-5-FC to ³H-AdCMVhSSTr2CD 27.4 5-FU by TLC AdRGDCMVhSSTr2CD 55.2

Fig 8. Evaluation of AdSSTr2CD bicistronic vectors for cytosine deaminase (CD) activity.



Fig 9. Therapy results with AdCMVSSTr2CD, 5-FC, and ⁹⁰Y-SMT 487 in athymic nude mice bearing subcutaneous (s.c.) A-427 xenografts.

were active in the bicistronic vectors. Therefore, therapy studies were initiated with AdCMVhSSTr2CD and ⁹⁰Y-SMT 487 in combination with 5-FC.

The AdCMVhSSTr2CD was injected i.t. into A-427 tumors at 1×10^9 pfu on days 20 and 27. The ⁹⁰Y-SMT 487 was administered IV on days 22, 24, 29, and 31 at 500 μ Ci per injection. The 5-FC was administered IP at 400 mg/kg twice a day for 5 days beginning on day 21, followed by another 5-day cycle beginning on day 28. Tumor inhibition results showed that ⁹⁰Y-SMT 487 and ⁹⁰Y-SMT 487 in combination with 5-FC inhibited tumor growth (Fig 9). Importantly, the combination treatment had a higher tumor growth inhibition than the ⁹⁰Y-SMT 487 treatment alone. In addition, the levels of toxicity (weight loss) were modest (Fig 10).



Fig 10. Toxicity with AdCMVSSTr2CD, 5-FC, and ⁹⁰Y-SMT 487 in athymic nude mice bearing subcutaneous (s.c.) A-427 xenografts.



Fig 11. Therapy results with AdCMVSSTr2CD, 5-FC, ⁶⁰Co, and ⁹⁰Y-SMT 487 in athymic nude mice bearing subcutaneous (s.c.) A-427 xenografts.

The next therapy study consisted of intratumoral injections of AdCMVhSSTr2CD into A-427 tumors at 1×10^9 pfu on days 18, 25, 32, and 39. The ⁹⁰Y-SMT 487 was administered IV on days 20, 22, 27, 29, 34, 36, 41, and 43 at 500 µCi per injection. The 5-FC was administered IP at 400 mg/kg twice a day for 5 days beginning on day 19, followed by 3 more 5-day cycles beginning on days 26, 33, and 40. The ⁶⁰Co was given as a single 3 Gy dose on days 21, 28, 35, and 42. Tumor inhibition results were extremely encouraging because they show that the combination of ⁹⁰Y-SMT 487 + 5-FC + 3 Gy resulted in tumor regressions (Fig 11 and Table 1). All combination therapies had at least 2 complete regressions with most being recurrence-free. The triple therapy groups had the highest mean tumor growth suppression of all treatment groups, but these differences were not statistically significant (P = 0.116). The problem, however, was that the intense therapeutic regimen was not well tolerated (Table 2). A summary of the weight change data is shown in Table 2. From before and after weight (paired *t*-test) comparisons, the controls had a significant weight gain (P <0.001), while ⁹⁰Y-SMT 487 (400 µCi x8) and 90 Y-SMT 487 (500 μ Ci x4) + 5-FC + 3 Gy had no significant weight changes (P = 0.065 and 0.216, respectively), and all other treatments groups had significant mean weight losses (all $P \leq$ 0.01). We plan to investigate lower doses of 5-FC and ⁹⁰Y-SMT 487 in future studies.

Treatment	no.	Tumor Doubling Time (mean/median)	Complete Regressions	
			Total (n)	No Relapse (n)
Untreated controls	15	16/10	1	1
⁹⁰ Υ-SMT 487 (500 μCi × 8)	8	19/9	0	0
⁹⁰ Y-SMT 487 (400 μ Ci $ imes$ 8)	8	25/12	0	0
⁹⁰ Y-SMT 487 (500 μ Ci $ imes$ 8) + 3 Gy	8	29/25	3	2
⁹⁰ Y-SMT 487 (400 μ Ci $ imes$ 8) $+$ 3 Gy	8	32/19	2	1
⁹⁰ Y-SMT 487 (500 μ Ci $ imes$ 4) + 5-FC + 3 Gy	8	47/19	3	3
⁹⁰ Y-SMT 487 (400 μ Ci $ imes$ 8) + 5-FC + 3 Gy	8	53/32	3	3

Table 1. Tumor Doubling Times and Complete Regressions in AdCMVhSSTr2CD Experiments

CONCLUSION

These studies show that genetic induction of hSSTr2 results in tumor localization of radiolabeled peptides at a level sufficient to produce therapeutic effects. Efforts continue to optimize this novel approach to cancer gene therapy by molecular chemotherapy and radiation therapy.

Table 2. Animal Weight Changes in AdCMVhSSTr2CD Experiments

Treatment	no.	Percent Change	Percentage with >20% loss
Untreated controls	15	117	0
⁹⁰ Y-SMT 487 (500 μ Ci $ imes$ 8)	8	78	63
⁹⁰ Y-SMT 487 (400 μ Ci $ imes$ 8)	8	85	38
⁹⁰ Y-SMT 487 (500 μ Ci $ imes$ 8) + 3 Gy	8	84	38
⁹⁰ Y-SMT 487 (400 μ Ci $ imes$ 8) $+$ 3 Gy	8	78	50
⁹⁰ Y-SMT 487 (500 μ Ci $ imes$ 4) + 5-FC			
+ 3 Gy	8	91	50
⁹⁰ Y-SMT 487 (400 μ Ci $ imes$ 8) + 5-FC			
+ 3 Gy	8	79	50

1. Buchsbaum DJ, Langmuir VK, Wessels BW: Experimental radioimmunotherapy. Med Phys 20:551-567, 1993

2. Buchsbaum DJ: Experimental radioimmunotherapy and methods to increase therapeutic efficacy. in Goldenberg DM (ed): Cancer Therapy with Radiolabeled Antibodies. Boca Raton, FL, CRC Press, 1995, pp 115-140

3. Knox SJ: Overview of studies on experimental radioimmunotherapy. Cancer Res 55:5832s-5836s, 1995 (suppl)

4. Imam SK: Status of radioimmunotherapy in the new millennium. Cancer Biother Radiopharm 16:237-256, 2001

5. Goldenberg DM: Targeted therapy of cancer with radiolabeled antibodies. J Nucl Med 43:693-713, 2002

6. Dillman RO: Radiolabeled anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies for the treatment of B-cell lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 20:3545-3557, 2002

7. Juweid ME: Radioimmunotherapy of B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: From clinical trials to clinical practice. J Nucl Med 43:1507-1529, 2002

8. Vose JM, Wahl RL, Saleh M, et al: Multicenter phase II study of iodine-131 tositumomab for chemotherapy-relapsed/

The development of new ligands (eg, minibody and diabodies) against hSSTr2 and mutant forms of hSSTr2 offer the potential for higher and more specific tumor uptake and, thus, improved sensitivity of detection and higher therapeutic efficacy. Other approaches that are under active investigation include gene transfer of the type-2 dopamine receptor expressed on the tumor cell surface detected by PET using a radiolabeled antagonist, or herpes simples virus TK gene transfer detected by gamma camera or PET imaging with radiolabeled substrates trapped intracellularly after phosphorylation by the kinase.127-129 Gene transfer of the sodium iodide symporter has also been used for imaging and therapy. Clinical studies are needed to determine the most promising approach.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Anna Wu, Alex Pereboev, Kurt Zinn, Tandra Chaudhuri, Mark Carpenter, and Buck Rogers are acknowledged for their contributions to the concepts and results presented.

REFERENCES

refractory low-grade and transformed low-grade B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. J Clin Oncol 18:1316-1323, 2000

9. Press OW, Eary JF, Gooley T, et al: A phase I/II trial of iodine-131-tositumomab (anti-CD20), etoposide, cyclophosphamide, and autologous stem cell transplantation for relapsed B-cell lymphomas. Blood 96:2934-2942, 2000

10. Kaminski MS, Zelenetz AD, Press OW, et al: Pivotal study of iodine I 131 tositumomab for chemotherapy-refractory low-grade or transformed low-grade B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. J Clin Oncol 19:3918-3928, 2001

11. Wiseman GA, Gordon LI, Multani PS, et al: Ibritumomab tiuxetan radioimmunotherapy for patients with relapsed or refractory non-Hodgkin lymphoma and mild thrombocytopenia: A phase II multicenter trial. Blood 99:4336-4342, 2002

12. Witzig TE, Gordon LI, Cabanillas F, et al: Randomized controlled trial of yttrium-90-labeled ibritumomab tiuxetan radioimmunotherapy versus rituximab immunotherapy for patients with relapsed or refractory low-grade, follicular, or transformed B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 20:2453-2463, 2002

IMAGING AND THERAPY OF TUMORS

13. van Zanten-Przybysz I, Molthoff CF, Roos JC, et al: Radioimmunotherapy with intravenously administered ¹³¹Ilabeled chimeric monoclonal antibody MOv18 in patients with ovarian cancer. J Nucl Med 41:1168-1176, 2000

14. Meredith RF, Alvarez RD, Partridge EE, et al: Intraperitoneal radioimmunochemotherapy of ovarian cancer: A phase I study. Cancer Biother Radiopharm 16:305-315, 2001

15. Alvarez RD, Huh WK, Khazaeli MB, et al: A phase I study of combined modality ⁹⁰Yttrium-CC49 intraperitoneal radioimmunotherapy for ovarian cancer. Clin Cancer Res 8:2806-2811, 2002

16. Hopkins K, Papanastassiou V, Kemshead JT: The treatment of patients with recurrent malignant gliomas with intratumoral radioimmunoconjugates. Recent Results Cancer Res 141:141-159, 1996

17. Cokgor I, Akabani G, Kuan C-T, et al: Phase I trial results of iodine-131-labeled antitenascin monoclonal antibody 81C6 treatment of patients with newly diagnosed malignant gliomas. J Clin Oncol 18:3862-3872, 2000

 Menda Y, Kahn D: Somatostatin receptor imaging of non-small cell lung cancer with ^{99m}Tc depreotide. Semin Nucl Med 32:92-96, 2002

19. Warner RRP, O'Dorisio TM: Radiolabeled peptides in diagnosis and tumor imaging: Clinical overview. Semin Nucl Med 32:79-83, 2002

20. Machac J, Krynyckyi B, Kim C: Peptide and antibody imaging in lung cancer. Semin Nucl Med 32:276-292, 2002

21. Virgolini I, Kurtaran A, Raderer M, et al: Vasoactive intestinal peptide receptor scintigraphy. J Nucl Med 36:1732-1739, 1995

22. Lamberts SWJ, van der Lely AJ, de Herder WW, et al: Octreotide. N Engl J Med 334:246-254, 1996

23. Blum JE, Handmaker H, Rinne NA: The utility of a somatostatin-type receptor binding peptide radiopharmaceutical (P829) in the evaluation of solitary pulmonary nodules. Chest 115:224-232, 1999

24. Traub T, Petkov V, Ofluoglu S, et al: ¹¹¹In-DOTAlanreotide scintigraphy in patients with tumors of the lung. J Nucl Med 42:1309-1315, 2001

25. Zamora PO, Gulhke S, Bender H, et al: Experimental radiotherapy of receptor-positive human prostate adenocarcinoma with ¹⁸⁸Re-RC-160, a directly-radiolabeled somatostatin analogue. Int J Cancer 65:214-220, 1996

26. Anderson CJ, Jones LA, Bass LA, et al: Radiotherapy, toxicity and dosimetry of copper-64-TETA-octreotide in tumorbearing rats. J Nucl Med 39:1944-1951, 1998

27. Stolz B, Weckbecker G, Smith-Jones PM: The somatostatin receptor-targeted radiotherapeutic [⁹⁰Y-DOTA-DPhe¹, Tyr³]octreotide (⁹⁰Y-SMT 487) eradicates experimental rat pancreatic CA 20948 tumours. Eur J Nucl Med 25:668-674, 1998

28. de Jong M, Breeman WAP, Bernard BF, et al: Tumor response after [⁹⁰Y-DOTA⁰, Tyr³]octreotide radionuclide therapy in a transplantable rat tumor model is dependent on tumor size. J Nucl Med 42:1841-1846, 2001

29. Bugaj JE, Erion JL, Johnson MA, et al: Radiotherapeutic efficacy of ¹⁵³Sm-CMDTPA-Tyr³-octreotate in tumor-bearing rats. Nucl Med Biol 28:327-334, 2001

30. Chinol M, Paganelli G, Zoboli S, et al: ⁹⁰Y-DOTATOC treatment of patients with SSTr2 positive tumors: Preliminary results of phase I-II study. J Nucl Med 40:18P, 1999

31. de Jong M, Krenning E: New advances in peptide receptor radionuclide therapy. J Nucl Med 43:617-620, 2002

32. de Jong M, Valkema R, Jamar F, et al: Somatostatin receptor-targeted radionuclide therapy of tumors: Preclinical and clinical findings. Semin Nucl Med 32:133-140, 2002

33. Khazaeli MB, Conry RM, LoBuglio AF: Human immune response to monoclonal antibodies. J Immunother 15:42-52, 1994

34. Yokota T, Milenic DE, Whitlow M, et al: Rapid tumor penetration of a single-chain Fv and comparison with other immunoglobulin forms. Cancer Res 52:3402-3408, 1992

35. Vogel C-A, Bischof-Delaloye A, Mach JP, et al: Direct comparison of a radioiodinated intact chimeric anti-CEA MAb with its $F(ab')_2$ fragment in nude mice bearing different human colon cancer xenografts. Br J Cancer 68:684-690, 1993

36. Tai M-S, McCartney JE, Adams GP, et al: Targeting c-erbB-2 expressing tumors using single-chain Fv monomers and dimers. Cancer Res 55:5983s-5989s, 1995 (suppl)

37. Moffatt FL Jr, Pinsky CM, Hammershaimb L, et al: Clinical utility of external immunoscintigraphy with the IMMU-4 technetium-99m Fab' antibody fragment in patients undergoing surgery for carcinoma of the colon and rectum: Results of a pivotal, phase III trial. J Clin Oncol 14:2295-2305, 1996

38. Fischman AJ, Babich JW, Strauss HW: A ticket to ride: Peptide radiopharmaceuticals. J Nucl Med 34:2253-2263, 1993

39. Rowlinson G, Snook D, Busza A, et al: Antibody-guided localization of intraperitoneal tumors following intraperitoneal or intravenous antibody administration. Cancer Res 47:6528-6531, 1987

40. Zalutsky MR, Moseley RP, Benjamin JC, et al: Monoclonal antibody and $F(ab')_2$ fragment delivery to tumor in patients with glioma: Comparison of intracarotid and intravenous administration. Cancer Res 50:4105-4110, 1990

41. Quadri SM, Malik AB, Chu HB, et al: Intraperitoneal indium-111- and yttrium-90-labeled human IgM (AC6C3–2B12) in nude mice bearing peritoneal carcinomatosis. J Nucl Med 37:1545-1551, 1996

42. Kinuya S, Jeong JM, Garmestani K, et al: Effect of metabolism on retention of indium-111-labeled monoclonal antibody in liver and blood. J Nucl Med 35:1851-1857, 1994

43. Arano Y, Wakisaka K, Mukai T, et al: Stability of a metabolizable ester bond in radioimmunoconjugates. Nucl Med Biol 23:129-136, 1996

44. Rosenblum MG, Lamki LM, Murray JL, et al: Interferon-induced changes in pharmacokinetics and tumor uptake of ¹¹¹In-labeled antimelanoma antibody 96.5 in melanoma patients. J Natl Cancer Inst 80:160-165, 1988

45. Kuhn JA, Wong JYC, Beatty BG: γ-Interferon enhancement of a multiple treatment regimen for radioimmunotherapy. Antibody Immunoconj Radiopharm 4:837-845, 1991

46. Greiner JW, Ullmann CD, Nieroda C, et al: Improved radioimmunotherapeutic efficacy of an anticarcinoma monoclonal antibody (131 I-CC49) when given in combination with γ -interferon. Cancer Res 53:600-608, 1993

47. Roselli M, Guadagni F, Buonomo O, et al: Systemic administration of recombinant interferon alfa in carcinoma patients upregulates the expression of the carcinoma-associated antigens tumor-associated glycoprotein-72 and carcinoembry-onic antigen. J Clin Oncol 14:2031-2042, 1996

48. Raben D, Buchsbaum DJ, Khazaeli MB, et al: Enhancement of radiolabeled antibody binding and tumor localization through adenoviral transduction of the human carcinoembryonic antigen gene. Gene Ther 3:567-580, 1996

49. Rogers BE, Rosenfeld ME, Khazaeli MB, et al: Localization of iodine-125-mIP-Des-Met¹⁴-bombesin (7-13)NH₂ in ovarian carcinoma induced to express the gastrin releasing peptide receptor by adenoviral vector-mediated gene transfer. J Nucl Med 38:1221-1229, 1997

50. Rosenfeld ME, Rogers BE, Khazaeli MB, et al: Adenoviral mediated delivery of gastrin releasing peptide receptor results in specific tumor localization of a bombesin analogue in vivo. Clin Cancer Res 3:1187-1194, 1997

51. Rogers BE, Curiel DT, Mayo MS, et al: Tumor localization of a radiolabeled bombesin analogue in mice bearing human ovarian tumors induced to express the gastrin releasing peptide receptor by an adenoviral vector. Cancer 80:2419-2424, 1997

52. Clarke K, Lee FT, Brechbiel MW, et al: Therapeutic efficacy of anti-Lewis^y humanized 3S193 radioimmunotherapy in a breast cancer model: Enhanced activity when combined with taxol chemotherapy. Clin Cancer Res 6:3621-3628, 2000

53. O'Donnell RT, DeNardo SJ, Miers LA, et al: Combined modality radioimmunotherapy for human prostate cancer xenografts with taxanes and ⁹⁰yttrium-DOTA-peptide-ChL6. Prostate 50:27-37, 2002

54. Welt S, Ritter G, Williams C Jr, et al: Preliminary report of a phase I study of combination chemotherapy and humanized A33 antibody immunotherapy in patients with advanced colorectal cancer. Clin Cancer Res 9:1347-1353, 2003

55. Kinuya S, Kawashima A, Yokoyama K, et al: Cooperative effect of radioimmunotherapy and antiangiogenic therapy with thalidomide in human cancer xenografts. J Nucl Med 43:1084-1089, 2002

56. Pedley RB, El-Emir E, Flynn AA, et al: Synergy between vascular targeting agents and antibody-directed therapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 54:1524-1531, 2002

57. Burke PA, DeNardo SJ, Miers LA, et al: Cilengitide targeting of $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_3$ integrin receptor synergizes with radioimmunotherapy to increase efficacy and apoptosis in breast cancer xenografts. Cancer Res 62:4263-4272, 2002

58. Rogers BE, Garver RI Jr, Grizzle WE, et al: Genetic induction of antigens and receptors as targets for cancer radiotherapy. Tumor Targeting 3:122-137, 1998

59. Rogers BE, Douglas JT, Sosnowski BA, et al: Enhanced in vivo gene delivery to human ovarian cancer xenografts utilizing a tropism-modified adenovirus vector. Tumor Targeting 3:25-31, 1998

60. Rogers BE, McLean SF, Kirkman RL, et al: In vivo localization of [¹¹¹In]-DTPA-D-Phe¹-octreotide to human ovarian tumor xenografts induced to express the somatostatin receptor subtype 2 using an adenoviral vector. Clin Cancer Res 5:383-393, 1999

61. Zinn KR, Chaudhuri TR: The type 2 human somatostatin receptor as a platform for reporter gene imaging. Eur J Nucl Med 29:388-399, 2002

62. Rogers BE, Anderson CJ, Mayo MS, et al: Localization of Cu-64-TETA-octreotide to human ovarian cancer xenografts induced to express SSTr2 with an adenoviral vector. J Nucl Med 39:63P, 1998

63. Bonner, JA, Buchsbaum, DJ, Russo, SM, et al: A gene therapy-based approach for increasing tumor cell expression of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFr). Semin Radiat Oncol (in press)

64. Reubi JC, Schaer JC, Waser B, et al: Expression and localization of somatostatin receptor SSTR1, SSTR2, and SSTR3 messenger RNAs in primary human tumors using in situ hybridization. Cancer Res 54:3455-3459, 1994

65. Woltering EA, O'Dorisio MS, O'Dorisio TM: The role of radiolabeled somatostatin analogs in the management of cancer patients. in DeVita VT Jr, Hellman S, Rosenberg SA (eds): Principles and Practice of Oncology, PPO Updates, vol 9 (ed 4). Philadelphia, PA, Lippincott-Raven, 1995, pp 1-16

66. Reubi JC, Waser B, Schaer JC, et al: Somatostatin receptors in human prostate and prostate cancer. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 80:2806-2814, 1995

67. Nilsson S, Reubi JC, Kalkner KM, et al: Metastatic hormone-refractory prostatic adenocarcinoma expresses somatostatin receptors and is visualized in vivo by [¹¹¹In]-labeled DTPA-D-[Phe¹]-octreotide scintigraphy. Cancer Res 55:5805s-5810s, 1995 (suppl)

68. Halmos G, Schally AV, Sun B, et al: High expression of somatostatin receptors and messenger ribonucleic acid for its receptor subtypes in organ-confined and locally advanced human prostate cancers. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 85:2564-2571, 2000

69. Patel YC: Somatostatin and its receptor family. Front Neuroendocrinol 20:157-198, 1999

70. Yamada Y, Post SR, Wang K, et al: Cloning and functional characterization of a family of human and mouse somatostatin receptors expressed in brain, gastrointestinal tract, and kidney. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 89:251-255, 1992

71. Hoyer D, Bell GI, Berelowitz M, et al: Classification and nomenclature of somatostatin receptors. Trends Pharmacol Sci 16:86-88, 1995

72. Feuerbach D, Fehlmann D, Nunn C, et al: Cloning, expression and pharmacological characterisation of the mouse somatostatin sst(5) receptor. Neuropharmacology 39:1451-1462, 2000

73. Virgolini I, Leimer M, Handmaker H, et al: Somatostatin receptor subtype specificity and in vivo binding of a novel tumor tracer, ^{99m}Tc-P829. Cancer Res 58:1850-1859, 1998

74. Reubi JC, Schar JC, Waser B, et al: Affinity profiles for human somatostatin receptor subtypes SST1-SST5 of somatostatin radiotracers selected for scintigraphic and radiotherapeutic use. Eur J Nucl Med 27:273-282, 2000

75. Schally AV: Oncological applications of somatostatin analogues. Cancer Res 48:6977-6985, 1988

76. Bruns C, Weckbecker G, Raulf F, et al: Molecular pharmacology of somatostatin-receptor subtypes. Ann N Y Acad Sci 733:138-146, 1994

77. Buscail L, Saint-Laurent N, Chastre E, et al: Loss of sst2 somatostatin receptor gene expression in human pancreatic and colorectal cancer. Cancer Res 56:1823-1827, 1996

78. Smith-Jones PM, Stolz B, Albert R, et al: Synthesis, radiolabelling, and evaluation of DTPA/octreotide conjugates for radiotherapy. J Labelled Comp Radiopharm 37:499-501, 1995

79. Stolz B, Smith-Jones P, Weckbecker G, et al: Radiotherapy with yttrium-90 labeled DOTA-Tyr³-octreotide in tumor bearing rodents. J Nucl Med 38:18P, 1997 80. Leimer M, Kurtaran A, Smith-Jones P, et al: Response to treatment with yttrium 90-DOTA-lanreotide of a patient with metastatic gastrinoma. J Nucl Med 39:2090-2094, 1998

81. Paganelli G, Zoboli S, Cremonesi M, et al: Receptormediated radionuclide therapy with ⁹⁰Y-DOTA-D-Phe¹-Tyr³-Octreotide: Preliminary report in cancer patients. Cancer Biother Radiopharm 14:477-483, 1999

82. Mathieu I, Jamar F, Walrand S, et al: Biodistribution of Y-86-DOTA-Tyr³-octreotide (SMT487) and renal protective effect of amino acid infusion: A phase I study. Eur J Nucl Med 25:837, 1998

83. Smith MC, Liu J, Chen T, et al: OctreoTherTM: Ongoing early clinical development of a somatostatin-receptor-targeted radionuclide antineoplastic therapy. Digestion 62:69-72, 2000 (suppl 1)

84. Valkema R, Kvols L, Jamar F, et al: Phase I study of therapy with ⁹⁰Y-SMT487 (octreother) in patients with somatostatin receptor (SS-R) positive tumors. J Nucl Med 5:33P, 2002

85. Valkema R, Pauwels S, Kvols L, et al: Peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRT) with ⁹⁰Y-DOTA⁰, Tyr³-octreotide (Y-Oc) and ¹¹¹In-DTPA⁰-octreotide (In-Oc): Comparison of tumor response and toxicity. J Nucl Med 5:34P, 2002

86. Buscombe JR, Caplin ME, Bouvier C, et al: Treating somatostatin receptor positive tumours with ⁹⁰Y-lanreotide. J Nucl Med 5:34P, 2002

87. Kwekkeboom DJ, Kam BL, Bakker WH, et al: Treatment with ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTA-Tyr3-octreotate in patients with somatostatin receptor positive tumors. J Nucl Med 5:34P, 2002

88. Freeman SM, Whartenby KA, Freeman JL, et al: In situ use of suicide genes for cancer therapy. Semin Oncol 23:31-45, 1996

89. Morris JC: Enzyme/prodrug-based tumor vaccination: All politics (and immunity) are local. J Natl Cancer Inst 91:1986-1989, 1999

90. McGinn CJ, Shewach DS, Lawrence TS: Radiosensitizing nucleosides. J Natl Cancer Inst 88:1193-1203, 1996

91. Danielson S, Kilstrup M, Barilla K, et al: Characterization of the *Escherichia coli codBA* operon encoding cytosine permease and cytosine deaminase. Mol Microbiol 6:1335-1344, 1992

92. Austin EA, Huber BE: A first step in the development of gene therapy for colorectal carcinoma: Cloning, sequencing, and expression of *escherichia coli* cytosine deaminase. Mol Pharmacol 43:380-387, 1993

93. Hamstra DA, Rice DJ, Pu A, et al: Combined radiation and enzyme/prodrug treatment for head and neck cancer in an orthotopic animal model. Radiation Res 152:499-507, 1999

94. Hamstra DA, Rice DJ, Fahmy S, et al: Enzyme/prodrug therapy for head and neck cancer using a catalytically superior cytosine deaminase. Hum Gene Ther 10:1993-2003, 1999

95. Huber BE, Austin EA, Good SS, et al: In vivo antitumor activity of 5-fluorocytosine on human colorectal carcinoma cells genetically modified to express cytosine deaminase. Cancer Res 53:4619-4626, 1993

96. Hirschowitz EA, Ohwada A, Pascal WR, et al: In vivo adenovirus-mediated gene transfer of the *Escherichia coli* cytosine deaminase gene to human colon carcinoma-derived tumors induces chemosensitivity to 5-fluorocytosine. Human Gene Ther 6:1055-1063, 1995

97. Mullen C: Metabolic suicide genes in gene therapy. Pharmacol Ther 63:199-207, 1994

98. Huber BE, Austin EA, Richards CA, et al: Metabolism of 5-fluorocytosine to 5-fluorouracil in human colorectal tumor cells transduced with cytosine deaminase gene: Significant antitumor effects when only a small percentage of tumor cells express cytosine deaminase. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 91:8302-8306, 1994

99. Dong Y, Wen P, Manome Y, et al: In vivo replicationdeficient adenovirus vector-mediated transduction of the cytosine deaminase gene sensitizes glioma cells to 5-fluorocytosine. Human Gene Ther 7:713-720, 1996

100. DiMaio JM, Clary BM, Via DF, et al: Directed enzyme pro-drug gene therapy for pancreatic cancer in vivo. Surgery 116:205-213, 1994

101. Hanna NN, Mauceri HJ, Wayne JD, et al: Virally directed cytosine deaminase/5-fluorocytosine gene therapy enhances radiation response in human cancer xenografts. Cancer Res 57:4205-4209, 1997

102. Pederson LC, Buchsbaum DJ, Vickers SM, et al: Molecular chemotherapy combined with radiation therapy enhances killing of cholangiocarcinoma cells in vitro and in vivo. Cancer Res 57:4325-4332, 1997

103. Stackhouse MA, Pederson LC, Grizzle WE, et al: Fractionated radiation therapy in combination with adenoviral delivery of the cytosine deaminase gene and 5-fluorocytosine enhances cytotoxic and antitumor effects in human colorectal and cholangiocarcinoma models. Gene Ther 7:1019-1026, 2000

104. Khil MS, Kim JH, Mullen CA, et al: Radiosensitization by 5-fluorocytosine of human colorectal carcinoma cells in culture transduced with cytosine deaminease gene. Clin Cancer Res 2:53-57, 1996

105. Gabel M, Kim JH, Kolozsvary A, et al: Selective in vivo radiosensitization by 5-fluorocytosine of human colorectal carcinoma cells transduced with the *E. coli* cytosine deaminase (CD) gene. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 41:883-887, 1998

106. Pederson LC, Vickers SM, Buchsbaum DJ, et al: Combining cytosine deaminase expression, 5-fluorocytosine exposure, and radiotherapy increases cytotoxicity to cholangiocarcinoma cells. J Gastrointest Surg 2:283-291, 1998

107. Pederson LC, Stackhouse, MA, Vickers, SM, et al: Redirection of cytosine deaminase gene transfer via basic fibroblast growth factor receptor enhances pancreatic carcinoma and cholangiocarcinoma cytotoxicity mediated by conversion of 5-fluorocytosine to 5-fluorouracil. Ann Surg (submitted)

108. Ohwada A, Hirschowitz EA, Crystal RG: Regional delivery of an adenovirus vector containing the *Escherichia coli* cytosine deaminase gene to provide local activation of 5-fluorocytosine to suppress the growth of colon carcinoma metastatic to liver. Hum Gene Ther 7:1567-1576, 1996

109. Miller CR, Williams CR, Buchsbaum DJ, et al: Intratumoral 5-fluorouracil produced by cytosine deaminase/5-fluorocytosine gene therapy is effective for experimental human glioblastomas. Cancer Res 62:773-780, 2002

110. Pandha HS, Martin LA, Rigg A, et al: Genetic prodrug activation therapy for breast cancer: A phase I clinical trial of *erb*B-2-directed suicide gene expression. J Clin Oncol 17:2180-2189, 1999

111. Breitling F, Dubel S, Seehaus T, et al: A surface expression vector for antibody screening. Gene 104:147-153, 1991

112. Fuchs P, Dubel S, Breitling F, et al: Recombinant human monoclonal antibodies. Basic principles of the immune system transferred to E. coli. Cell Biophys 21:81-91, 1992

113. Hu S-z, Shively L, Raubitschek A, et al: Minibody: A novel engineered anti-carcinoembryonic antigen antibody fragment (single-chain $Fv-C_H3$) which exhibits rapid, high-level targeting of xenografts. Cancer Res 56:3055-3061, 1996

114. Holliger P, Prospero T, Winter G: "Diabodies": Small bivalent and bispecific antibody fragments. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 90:6444-6448, 1993

115. Wu AM, Williams LE, Zieran L, et al: Anti-carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) diabody for rapid tumor targeting and imaging. Tumor Targeting 4:47-58, 1999

116. Yazaki PJ, Wu AM, Tsai SW, et al: Tumor targeting of radiometal labeled anti-CEA recombinant T84.66 diabody and t84.66 minibody: comparison to radioiodinated fragments. Bioconjug Chem 12:220-228, 2001

117. Wu AM, Yazaki PJ, Tsai S, et al: High-resolution microPET imaging of carcinoembryonic antigen-positive xenografts by using a copper-64-labeled engineered antibody fragment. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97:8495-8500, 2000

118. Adams GP, Schier R, McCall AM, et al: High affinity restricts the localization and tumor penetration of single-chain fv antibody molecules. Cancer Res 61:4750-4755, 2001

119. Buchsbaum DJ, Curiel DT: Gene therapy for the treatment of cancer. Cancer Biother Radiopharm 16:275-288, 2001

120. Rogers BE, Zinn KR, Buchsbaum DJ: Gene transfer strategies for improving radiolabeled peptide imaging and therapy. Q J Nucl Med 44:208-223, 2000

121. Rogers BE, Zinn KR, Lin C-Y, et al: Targeted radiotherapy with [⁹⁰Y]-SMT 487 in mice bearing human non-small cell lung tumor xenografts induced to express human somatostatin receptor subtype 2 with an adenoviral vector. Cancer 94:1298-1305, 2002

122. Zinn KR, Buchsbaum DJ, Chaudhuri T, et al: Noninvasive monitoring of gene transfer using a reporter receptor imaged with a high affinity peptide radiolabeled with ^{99m}Tc or ¹⁸⁸Re. J Nucl Med 41:887-895, 2000

123. Manchanda R, Azure M, Lister-James J, et al: Tumor regression in rat pancreatic (AR42J) tumor-bearing mice with Re-188 P2045—A somatostatin analog. Clin Cancer Res 5:3769s, 1999

124. Chaudhuri TR, Rogers BE, Buchsbaum DJ, et al: A noninvasive reporter system to image adenoviral-mediated gene transfer to ovarian cancer xenografts. Gynecol Oncol 83:432-438, 2001

125. Zinn KR, Chaudhuri TR, Krasnykh VN, et al: Gamma camera dual imaging with a somatostatin receptor and thymidine kinase after gene transfer with a bicistronic adenovirus. Radiology 223:417-425, 2002

126. Chaudhuri TR, Krasnykh VN, Belousova N, et al: An Ad-based strategy for imaging, radiotherapy, and enhanced tumor killing. Mol Ther 3:S25, 2001

127. Liang Q, Gotts J, Satyamurthy N, et al: Noninvasive, repetitive, quantitative measurement of gene expression from a bicistronic message by positron emission tomography, following gene transfer with adenovirus. Mol Ther 6:73-82, 2002

128. Tjuvajev JG, Doubrovin M, Akhurst T, et al: Comparison of radiolabeled nucleoside probes (FIAU, FHBG, and FHPG) for PET imaging of HSV1-*tk* gene expression. J Nucl Med 43:1072-1083, 2002

129. Blasberg RG: Molecular imaging and cancer. Mol Cancer Ther 2:335-343, 2003